

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/658,737	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MITCHELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Patricia L. Nordmeyer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1772	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

(2) Patrick J. Fleis.

**Status of Application:** Under Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2006

**Time:** 4:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 9, 15-22

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Fleis discussed amendments to claims 1, 9 and 22 with regard to the sections cover the channel limitations. Mr. Fleis agreed to amendments that would change the claim language from a method point of view to more of the actual structure of the channel. Claims 15-21 have been cancelled as they are directed towards a non-elected method..